



OFF

TFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Samuel KALLNER

Art Unit: 2142

Application No.: 10/053,872

Conf. No. 5341

Examiner: Benjamin A. AILES

Filed: January 24, 2002

Washington, D.C.

For: COMMUNICATION ENDPOINT SUPPORTING MULTIPLE PROVIDER MODELS

Atty.'s Docket: KALLNER=1

Date: September 28, 2006

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [ ] Amendment [XX] RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION in the above-identified application.

[ ] Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

[XX] No additional fee is required.

[ ] The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	42	MINUS	** 80	0
INDEP.	3	MINUS	*** 9	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				

ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 25	\$
x 100	\$
+ 180	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 50	\$
x 200	\$
+ 360	\$
TOTAL	

OR

OR

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- \*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[ ] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

- [ ] First - \$ 60.00
- [ ] Second - \$ 225.00
- [ ] Third - \$ 510.00
- [ ] Fourth - \$ 795.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

- [ ] First - \$ 120.00
- [ ] Second - \$ 450.00
- [ ] Third - \$ 1020.00
- [ ] Fourth - \$ 1590.00

Month After Time Period Set

[ ] Less fees (\$ ) already paid for \_\_\_ month(s) extension of time on .

[ ] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$ .

[ ] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ .

[ ] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no. ).

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528  
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

By: Norman J. Latker  
Registration No. 19,963



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: KALLNER=1

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 2142
	)	
Samuel KALLNER et al.	)	Examiner: Benjamin A. AILES
	)	
Appln. No.: 10/053,872	)	Washington, D.C.
	)	
Date Filed: January 24, 2002	)	Confirmation No.: 5341
	)	
For: COMMUNICATION ENDPOINT	)	September 28, 2006
SUPPORTING MULTIPLE PROVIDER	)	
MODELS	)	

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION**

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In response to an Official Action dated July 21, 2006, Applicants respectfully submit the following remarks. This application contains claims 1, 4-16, 26, 29-41, 56 and 59-71, all of which were rejected in the present Official Action. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the remarks that follow.

Applicants thank Examiners Ailes and Prieto for the courtesy of a telephone interview with Applicant's representative, Daniel Kligler (Reg. No. 41,120) on September 20, 2006, and a follow-up telephone interview with Examiner